

# Chap 23: Instrumental Variables Analysis of Randomized Experiments with One-Sided Noncompliance

---

Jinwon Park

August 25, 2022

Seoul National University

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Terms
- 3 The Sommer-Zeger Vitamin a Supplement Data
- 4 Intention To Treat Effects
- 5 Compliance Status
- 6 Instrumental Variables
- 7 Conclusion

How to analyze causal effects if the treatment is confounded?

- consider alternatives to the unconfoundedness assumption
- Instrumental Variables

Target: estimate causal effect of assignment  $trt$  on outcome for subpopulation of compliers. (Local Average Treatment Effect)

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Terms**
- 3 The Sommer-Zeger Vitamin a Supplement Data
- 4 Intention To Treat Effects
- 5 Compliance Status
- 6 Instrumental Variables
- 7 Conclusion

- IV: Instrumental Variables
- CO, NC: Compliance, Non-Compliance
- One-sided noncompliance
- ITT analysis: Intention-to-Treat analysis
- Exclusion restriction
- LATE: Local Average Treatment Effect

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Terms
- 3 The Sommer-Zeger Vitamin a Supplement Data**
- 4 Intention To Treat Effects
- 5 Compliance Status
- 6 Instrumental Variables
- 7 Conclusion

# Table

**Table 23.1. Sommer-Zeger Vitamin Supplement Data**

Compliance Type	Assignment $Z_i$	Vitamin Supplements $W_i^{obs}$	Survival $Y_i^{obs}$	Number of Units ( $N = 23,682$ )
co or nc	0	0	0	74
co or nc	0	0	1	11,514
nc	1	0	0	34
nc	1	0	1	2385
co	1	1	0	12
co	1	1	1	9663

- $Y_i^{obs}$ : observed outcome (dead / survive)
- $W_i^{obs} \in \{0, 1\}$ : treatment of interest
- $Z_i \in \{0, 1\}$ : assignment to the treatment

$$W_i^{obs} = W_i(Z_i) = \begin{cases} W_i(0) & \text{if } Z_i = 0 \\ W_i(1) & \text{if } Z_i = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Y_i^{obs} = Y_i(Z_i, W_i^{obs}) = \begin{cases} Y_i(0, 0), & \text{if } Z_i = 0, W_i^{obs} = 0 \\ Y_i(1, 0), & \text{if } Z_i = 1, W_i^{obs} = 0 \\ Y_i(1, 1), & \text{if } Z_i = 1, W_i^{obs} = 1 \end{cases}$$



- Subsample sizes by treatment assignment and treatment received

$$N_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Z_i), \quad N_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i$$
$$N_c = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - W_i^{obs}), \quad N_t = \sum_{i=1}^N W_i^{obs}$$

- sample sizes by both treatment assigned and received

$$N_{0c} = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Z_i) \cdot (1 - W_i^{obs}), \quad N_{0t} = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Z_i) \cdot W_i^{obs}$$
$$N_{1c} = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \cdot (1 - W_i^{obs}), \quad N_{1t} = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \cdot W_i^{obs}$$

- Average outcomes and average treatment received by assignment

$$\bar{Y}_0^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Z_i) \cdot Y_i^{obs}, \quad \bar{Y}_1^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum Z_i \cdot Y_i^{obs}$$

$$\bar{W}_0^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Z_i) \cdot W_i^{obs}, \quad \bar{W}_1^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum Z_i \cdot W_i^{obs}$$

- Average outcomes by treatment received

$$\bar{Y}_c^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - W_i^{obs}) \cdot Y_i^{obs}, \quad \bar{Y}_t^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_t} \sum W_i^{obs} \cdot Y_i^{obs}$$

- Average outcomes by both treatment assignment and received

$$\bar{Y}_{0c}^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_{0c}} \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Z_i) \cdot (1 - W_i^{obs}) \cdot Y_i^{obs},$$

$$\bar{Y}_{0t}^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_{0t}} \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Z_i) \cdot W_i^{obs} \cdot Y_i^{obs}$$

$$\bar{Y}_{1c}^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_{1c}} \sum_{i=1}^N (Z_i) \cdot (1 - W_i^{obs}) \cdot Y_i^{obs},$$

$$\bar{Y}_{1t}^{obs} = \frac{1}{N_{1t}} \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \cdot W_i^{obs} \cdot Y_i^{obs}$$

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Terms
- 3 The Sommer-Zeger Vitamin a Supplement Data
- 4 Intention To Treat Effects**
- 5 Compliance Status
- 6 Instrumental Variables
- 7 Conclusion

- Target is to avoid the problem of noncompliance
- By focusing on the relationship between  $Z_i$  and  $Y_i$

- ITT effect on the receipt of treatment

$$\text{ITT}_W = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (W_i(1) - W_i(0)) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N W_i(1)$$

- unbiased estimator for  $\text{ITT}_W$  and sample variance

$$\widehat{\text{ITT}}_W = \bar{W}_1^{obs} - \bar{W}_0^{obs} = \bar{W}_1^{obs}$$
$$\hat{V}(\widehat{\text{ITT}}_W) = \frac{s_{W,0}^2}{N_0} + \frac{S_{W,1}^2}{N_1} = \frac{1}{N_1 - 1} \cdot \bar{W}_1^{obs} \cdot (1 - \bar{W}_1^{obs})$$

- ITT effect on  $Y$

$$\text{ITT}_Y = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i(1, W_i(1)) - Y_i(0, W_i(0)))$$

- unbiased estimator for  $\text{ITT}_Y$  and sample variance

$$\widehat{\text{ITT}}_Y = \bar{Y}_1^{obs} - \bar{Y}_0^{obs}$$
$$\widehat{\text{V}}(\widehat{\text{ITT}}_Y) = \frac{s_{Y,0}^2}{N_0} + \frac{S_{S,1}^2}{N_1}$$

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Terms
- 3 The Sommer-Zeger Vitamin a Supplement Data
- 4 Intention To Treat Effects
- 5 Compliance Status**
- 6 Instrumental Variables
- 7 Conclusion



## Compliers and Noncompliers

- Compliance status

$$G_i = \begin{cases} co & \text{if } W_i(1) = 1 \\ nc & \text{if } W_i(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

- $N_{co}, N_{nc}$ : number of units of each type in the sample
- $\pi_{co}, \pi_{nc}$ : sample fractions of compliers and noncompliers

For noncompliers,  $W_i(z) = 0$  for  $z = 0, 1$

**Table 23.2. Possibly Compliance Status by Observed Assignment and Receipt of Treatment for the Sommer-Zeger Vitamin Supplement Data**

		Assignment $Z_i$	
		0	1
Receipt of treatment $W_i^{\text{obs}}$	0	nc or co	nc
	1	–	co

*Note:* One-sided noncompliance rules out the  $Z_i = 0$   $W_i^{\text{obs}} = 1$  cell.

## ITT Effect by compliance status

- ITT Effect on treatment by compliance status

$$\text{ITT}_W = \pi_{nc} \cdot \text{ITT}_{W,nc} + \pi_{co} \cdot \text{ITT}_{W,co} = \pi_{co}$$

where

$$\text{ITT}_{W,nc} = \frac{1}{N_{nc}} \sum_{i:G_i=nc} (W_i(1) - W_i(0)) = 0$$

$$\text{ITT}_{W,co} = \frac{1}{N_{co}} \sum_{i:G_i=co} (W_i(1) - W_i(0)) = 1$$

## ITT Effect by compliance status

- ITT Effect on the outcome by compliance status

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ITT}_Y &= \text{ITT}_{Y,co} \cdot \pi_{co} + \text{ITT}_{Y,nc} \cdot \pi_{nc} \\ &= \text{ITT}_{Y,co} \cdot \text{ITT}_W + \text{ITT}_{Y,nc} \cdot (1 - \text{ITT}_W) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{ITT}_{Y,nc} = \frac{1}{N_{nc}} \sum_{i:G_i=nc} (Y_i(1,0) - Y_i(0,0))$$

$$\text{ITT}_{Y,co} = \frac{1}{N_{co}} \sum_{i:G_i=co} (Y_i(1,1) - Y_i(0,0))$$

- two ITT effects on  $Y$  cannot be estimated directly from the observable data
- disentangle ITT effects by compliance type under the exclusion restriction assumption
- this is because  $W_i^{obs}$  is unconfounded conditional on compliance status

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Terms
- 3 The Sommer-Zeger Vitamin a Supplement Data
- 4 Intention To Treat Effects
- 5 Compliance Status
- 6 Instrumental Variables**
- 7 Conclusion

## Exclusion Restriction for Noncompliers

- Exclusion restriction for noncompliers
  - For all noncompliers,  $Z_i \perp Y_i(W_i(Z_i))$   
i.e.) super population distribution of  $Y_i(0, 1)$  is same as  $Y_i(1, 0)$  for noncompliers.

## Local Average Treatment Effects

- Average ITT effect in the population can be decomposed as;

$$ITT_Y = ITT_{Y,co} \cdot ITT_W + ITT_{Y,nc} \cdot (1 - ITT_W)$$

- And by using exclusion restriction for noncompliers ( $ITT_{Y,nc} = 0$ ),

$$ITT_Y = ITT_{Y,co} \cdot ITT_W$$

- Hence,

$$\tau_{late} = ITT_{Y,co} = \frac{ITT_Y}{ITT_W}$$

- As a result, with exclusion restriction given, LATE can be interpreted as average causal effect of the receipt of treatment for compliers

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Terms
- 3 The Sommer-Zeger Vitamin a Supplement Data
- 4 Intention To Treat Effects
- 5 Compliance Status
- 6 Instrumental Variables
- 7 Conclusion**



# Conclusion

- With one-sided noncompliance setting, unconfoundedness assumption is broken
- By assuming unconfoundedness of assignment and exclusion restriction, we can estimate LATE